

Associazione culturale "Giuseppe Dossetti: i Valori" TUTELA E SVILUPPO DEI DIRITTI

Osservatorio per la Tolleranza e la Libertà Religiosa Observatory for Religious Tolerance and Freedom

2011 OSCE Human Dimension Implementation Meeting – Working Session 13

Statement by Dr. Mattia F. Ferrero Coordinator of the Observatory for Religious Tolerance and Freedom Associazione "Dossetti: i Valori" (Italy)

Religion-based intolerance and discrimination have received attention only since a few years. We all view with appreciation the efforts of the ODIHR and of the three Personal Representatives of the Chairman-in-Office to combat such phenomena. But much work is still to be done. Therefore should be paid more attention to fulfil the existing commitments instead to other issues out the boundaries of the OSCE *acquis* that are not matters of concern of the OSCE because not relevant for the security and stability of the Region. We should also bear in mind that religious tolerance and non-discrimination go hand by hand with religious freedom. If one of them lacks, the other one cannot be fully achieved and vice versa.

Especially East of Vienna there are recurring hate-motivated incidents and hate crimes against Christian properties or people, including murders. The situation of Christian communities in Kosovo, Turkey and Cyprus is a real threat to security of the Euro-Atlantic and Euro-Asian Region and may trigger instability and conflict on a wider-scale. Profanations of Christian cemeteries and churches recently took place in several OSCE Countries both East and West of Vienna. Primary responsibility rests with the participating States: it is not satisfactory that they do not commit violence themselves but they should also protect their citizens against acts committed by third parties and punish the perpetrators. Regrettably in some case even the police or other governmental agencies harass Churches and Christian communities.

West of Vienna anti-Christianism appears as the last acceptable prejudice and seems to receive less attention than other forms of intolerance because of Christianity's position as the historically major religion. But the OSCE commitments – as well as other international relevant instruments – protect minority religions as well as majority ones and should be avoided an improper hierarchy, implying that intolerance and discrimination against majority religions are less serious than those against minority ones.



Associazione "Dossetti: i Valori" Observatory for Religious Freedom and Tolerance

In many participating States there is a growing opposition to the public role of religion, which in some cases has resulted in the exclusion of the religion and its symbols from public life of citizens. As was noted by the UN Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief, "it's regrettable that societies with high level of income and education have openly expressed their aversion to see religious symbols in public".

It is also more and more widespread the false idea that religions are a negative fact instead a positive factor for building and well-being of our democracies. If the freedom of religion or belief rightly protects also the non-believers, an anti-religious atheism which preaches the need to remove the religion from public life should not be welcomed. A similar approach would be at odds with the very concept of religious freedom provided by the OSCE commitments, which protect the religious phenomenon as such. At this regard I find appropriate to remember that during the Follow-up Meeting of Vienna it was not reached the *consensus* on the proposal WT.78 that would put the right of practicing religion on the same footing of the preaching of atheism

Attention should be drawn to denial of Christian Churches' public role and to the attempt to exclude Christian believers from public discourse. Not only does it deny a rightful participation in politics but it can also easily slide into more overt discrimination or intolerance. According to Principle 16 of Vienna 1989 Concluding Document the OSCE and its participating States should engage in consultations with religious communities and promote the participation of these communities in public dialogue, even through the mass media. Consequently participating States should welcome the interventions of the representatives of religious communities that give their view – based on moral convictions deriving from faith – about everyday's life and, in particular, on legislative and administrative provisions of their Countries. Unless instead of proposing the religious communities become coercive or incite to violence, this should not be considered as a manifestation of intolerance because they exercise their right to religious freedom, in particular the right of religious teaching.

Dr. Mattia F. Ferrero Coordinator Associazione "Dossetti: i Valori" - Observatory for Religious Freedom and Tolerance

Corso Monforte, 2 I-20122 MILANO ITALIA - ITALY e-mail: mattia.ferrero@unicatt.it Phone: +39-02-89452122 Fax: +39-02-89452125