

Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe Permanent Council

PC.JOUR/1083 17 December 2015

Original: ENGLISH

Chairmanship: Serbia

1083rd PLENARY MEETING OF THE COUNCIL

1. Date: Thursday, 17 December 2015

Opened: 10.05 a.m. Suspended: 12.35 p.m. Resumed: 3.20 p.m. Closed: 5.20 p.m.

2. Chairperson: Ambassador V. Žugić

3. <u>Subjects discussed – Statements – Decisions/documents adopted:</u>

Agenda item 1: REPORT BY THE OSCE SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE

AND CO-ORDINATOR FOR COMBATING TRAFFICKING

IN HUMAN BEINGS

Chairperson, OSCE Special Representative and Co-ordinator for Combating Trafficking in Human Beings (SEC.GAL/210/15), Luxembourg-European Union (with the candidate countries Albania, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Montenegro; the country of the Stabilisation and Association Process and potential candidate country Bosnia and Herzegovina; the European Free Trade Association countries Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway, members of the European Economic Area; as well as Andorra, Georgia, Moldova and San Marino, in alignment) (PC.DEL/1742/15), United States of America (PC.DEL/1715/15), Russian Federation (PC.DEL/1753/15), Turkey (PC.DEL/1735/15 OSCE+), Canada (PC.DEL/1750/15 OSCE+), Switzerland (PC.DEL/1727/15 OSCE+), Ukraine (PC.DEL/1723/15 OSCE+), Moldova, Norway (PC.DEL/1747/15), Azerbaijan (PC.DEL/1723/15 OSCE+), Kazakhstan, Holy See (PC.DEL/1714/15), Belarus (PC.DEL/1737/15 OSCE+)

Agenda item 2: DECISION ON THE REVISION OF THE 2015 UNIFIED BUDGET

Chairperson

<u>Decision</u>: The Permanent Council adopted Decision No. 1195 (PC.DEC/1195) on the revision of the 2015 Unified Budget, the text of which is appended to this journal.

Agenda item 3: DECISION ON THE SCALES OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR 2016–2017

Chairperson

<u>Decision</u>: The Permanent Council adopted Decision No. 1196 (PC.DEC/1196) on the Scales of Contributions for 2016–2017, the text of which is appended to this journal.

Belgium (also on behalf of France, Italy, Spain and the United Kingdom) (interpretative statement, see attachment 1 to the decision), United States of America (interpretative statement, see attachment 2 to the decision), Ukraine (interpretative statement, see attachment 3 to the decision), Russian Federation (also on behalf of Belarus) (interpretative statement, see attachment 4 to the decision)

Agenda item 4: REVIEW OF CURRENT ISSUES

Chairperson

- (a) Ongoing aggression against Ukraine and violations of OSCE principles and commitments by the Russian Federation: Ukraine (PC.DEL/1724/15 OSCE+), Luxembourg-European Union (with the candidate countries Albania, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Montenegro; the country of the Stabilisation and Association Process and potential candidate country Bosnia and Herzegovina; the European Free Trade Association countries Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway, members of the European Economic Area; as well as Georgia, Moldova, San Marino and Ukraine, in alignment) (PC.DEL/1743/15), United States of America (PC.DEL/1718/15), Turkey (PC.DEL/1736/15 OSCE+), Canada (PC.DEL/1751/15 OSCE+), Switzerland (PC.DEL/1728/15 OSCE+)
- (b) Situation in Ukraine and the need to implement the Minsk agreements: Russian Federation (PC.DEL/1756/15), Ukraine, United States of America (PC.DEL/1719/15)
- (c) Abduction and illegal detention of Ukrainian citizens by the Russian Federation: Ukraine (PC.DEL/1725/15 OSCE+), Luxembourg-European Union (with the candidate countries Albania, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Montenegro; the country of the Stabilisation and Association Process and potential candidate country Bosnia and Herzegovina; the

European Free Trade Association countries Iceland and Norway, members of the European Economic Area; as well as Georgia, Moldova, San Marino and Ukraine, in alignment) (PC.DEL/1744/15), United States of America (PC.DEL/1733/15) (PC.DEL/1734/15), Canada (PC.DEL/1752/15 OSCE+), Russian Federation

- (d) Thirty-fourth round of the Geneva International Discussions, held on 9 December 2015: Luxembourg-European Union (with the candidate countries Albania, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Montenegro; the country of the Stabilisation and Association Process and potential candidate country Bosnia and Herzegovina; the European Free Trade Association countries Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway, members of the European Economic Area; as well as Moldova, San Marino and Ukraine, in alignment) (PC.DEL/1745/15), Russian Federation (PC.DEL/1754/15), Georgia (PC.DEL/1749/15 OSCE+)
- (e) Anniversary of the Astana Commemorative Declaration and the OSCE human dimension commitments: United States of America (PC.DEL/1720/15) (PC.DEL/1722/15), Luxembourg-European Union (with the candidate countries Albania, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia and Montenegro; the country of the Stabilisation and Association Process and potential candidate country Bosnia and Herzegovina; the European Free Trade Association countries Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway, members of the European Economic Area; as well as Moldova, San Marino and Ukraine, in alignment) (PC.DEL/1746/15), Russian Federation, Switzerland (PC.DEL/1730/15 OSCE+), Kazakhstan, Ukraine (PC.DEL/1739/15 OSCE+), Norway, Canada
- (f) International Day of Commemoration and Dignity of the Victims of the Crime of Genocide and of the Prevention of this Crime, marked on 9 December 2015: Armenia (PC.DEL/1758/15), Russian Federation (PC.DEL/1755/15)
- (g) Violations of religious freedom in Ukraine: Russian Federation, Ukraine (PC.DEL/1740/15 OSCE+)
- (h) Racial discrimination in the United States of America: Russian Federation, United States of America (PC.DEL/1748/15)
- (i) Response to a statement on freedom of the media in the OSCE area made by the Russian Federation at the 1082nd meeting of the Permanent Council: Lithuania (PC.DEL/1738/15 OSCE+), Russian Federation
- (j) Paris Agreement under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, adopted on 12 December 2015: France (Annex)
- (k) Allegations of police misconduct in Chicago, United States of America: United States of America (PC.DEL/1721/15)
- (l) Conviction of human rights activist Mr. I. Dadin in the Russian Federation: Switzerland (PC.DEL/1732/15 OSCE+), Russian Federation

Agenda item 5: REPORT ON THE ACTIVITIES OF THE CHAIRPERSON-IN-OFFICE

Thirty-fourth round of the Geneva International Discussions, held on 9 December 2015: Chairperson

Agenda item 6: REPORT OF THE SECRETARY GENERAL

- (a) Announcement of the distribution of a written report of the Secretary General: Co-ordinator of Activities to Address Transnational Threats
- (b) Participation of the Secretary General in the United Nations High Commissioner on Refugees' eighth Dialogue on Protection Challenges, held in Geneva, Switzerland, on 16 and 17 December 2015: Co-ordinator of Activities to Address Transnational Threats
- (c) Participation of the Secretary General in the commemoration of Turkmenistan's twentieth anniversary of neutrality, held in Ashgabat on 12 and 13 December 2015: Co-ordinator of Activities to Address Transnational Threats
- (d) Training-of-trainers course for Afghan law enforcement officers, held in the Russian Federation from 8 to 22 December 2015: Co-ordinator of Activities to Address Transnational Threats

Agenda item 7: ANY OTHER BUSINESS

- (a) Farewell statement by the Serbian Chairmanship: Chairperson, Switzerland
- (b) Statement regarding the Minsk Group: United States of America (PC.DEL/1731/15)
- (c) Selection process for the post of OSCE Representative on Freedom of the Media: Chairperson

4. <u>Next meeting</u>:

To be announced



Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe Permanent Council

PC.JOUR/1083 17 December 2015 Annex

ENGLISH

Original: FRENCH

1083rd Plenary Meeting

PC Journal No. 1083, Agenda item 4(j)

STATEMENT BY THE DELEGATION OF FRANCE

Mr. Chairperson,

The Paris Agreement, adopted by the Conference of the Parties on 12 December, marks a historic milestone on the basis of a document that is both ambitious and balanced.

As well as being a victory for the planet, the Agreement also is also a successful demonstration of multilateralism. Nothing would have been possible had all nations not made a commitment as one.

The Agreement affirms our central and vital objective: to hold the increase in the average temperature to well below 2°C and to pursue efforts to limit it to 1.5°C.

As well as establishing a long-term objective, countries will have to reach the peak of their emissions as soon as possible and continue to submit national climate action plans every five years, each one more ambitious than the last, specifying their future objectives for combating climate change.

A global stocktake, also on a five-yearly basis, focused on mitigation as well as adaptation and the means of implementation (finance, technology, capacity), will be undertaken every five years starting from 2023 and will provide the basis for the adjustment of the contributions to enhance collective ambition.

The Paris Agreement acknowledges the concept of climate justice. It takes into account countries' responsibilities and their capacities in light of their national situation.

The Agreement also has a significant financial component: 100 billion US dollars shall be provided annually from 2020 for funding projects enabling countries to adapt to climate change or to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. These funds should increase, and certain developing countries may also become donors on a voluntary basis. Human rights are specifically addressed in the preamble, which also mentions the imperatives of ensuring decent work and a just transition.

Finally, the Agreement provides for an enhanced transparency framework, taking all capacities into account. It provides for a collective stocktake every five years. The Agreement

establishes a mechanism to facilitate implementation of and promote compliance with its provisions, functioning in a transparent and non-punitive manner.

The agreement will enter into force pursuant to a twofold criterion, with a threshold of 55 countries accounting for 55 per cent of emissions. An official signature ceremony will be held on the next Earth Day, on 22 April 2016.

Mr. Chairperson,

We believe that this Agreement also represents a contribution to international peace and security.

Climate and international security are closely intertwined. Climate disruption has direct and indirect consequences for peace and security and to combat the former – climate disruption – is to promote the latter – peace and security. The droughts, famines and floods caused by global warming could be major factors in triggering conflicts for future generations. We had an opportunity to address these issues during the Security Day on climate organized by the Secretary General on 27 October 2015.

We believe that the OSCE, as a regional security organization, must leverage all of its responsibility and make a contribution to the extent it is able, using the specific resources at its disposal to combat global warming.

The inclusivity of its security concept enables it to take a comprehensive approach to the climate-related threats and challenges that could arise in the OSCE area, and is an integral part of its mandate.

The OSCE offers a vast platform for dialogue from Vancouver to Vladivostok, including with non-State actors such as international organizations or civil society. We should take advantage of this platform to establish the necessary political dialogue for jointly combating the effects of climate change. COP21 has given all of us an unprecedented impetus: we must become part of this impetus and join the efforts of other regional and international organizations (the European Union, NATO, the United Nations) to make a joint contribution to the implementation of the Paris Agreement.

Over the past few decades, the OSCE has crafted substantial expertise on the ground in various regions facing crises of different types – it has carried out work in areas as diverse as education, conflict prevention, democratization, awareness-raising on environmental issues, and gender equality. Thanks to this experience, the OSCE can act in an inclusive and co-operative way. It can use its conflict prevention and resolution toolbox to address the challenges of climate change and to hold discussions on important issues such as water governance or the green economy.

Finally, the OSCE has supported numerous governments in their reform and democratic transition processes. Democratic governance is at the heart of the OSCE's value system. By strengthening good governance in fragile States, the OSCE can also promote increased attention to environmental and climate change-related issues in public policies throughout the OSCE area.

For all of these reasons, France cherishes the hope that environmental and climate issues will be taken more into account in the OSCE's future. In that regard, we are counting on the commitment of current and future Chairmanships.

Thank you for your attention.



Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe Permanent Council

PC.DEC/1195 17 December 2015

Original: ENGLISH

1083rd Plenary Meeting

PC Journal No. 1083, Agenda item 2

DECISION No. 1195 REVISION OF THE 2015 UNIFIED BUDGET

The Permanent Council,

Recalling Decision No. 1158 of 30 December 2014 on the approval of the 2015 Unified Budget and Decision No. 1177 of 25 August 2015 on the approval of the 2015 Supplementary Budget for the Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights,

Taking note of the budget revision proposed in document PC.ACMF/71/15 of 1 December 2015,

Approves the budget revision as contained in the attached annex.

REVISION OF THE 2015 UNIFIED BUDGET

Fund Main Programme Programme	Approved Budget	Transfers as per Fin.Reg. 3.02(b)	Revised Budget after Transfers	Proposed Budget Increases/ (Decreases)	Proposed Revised Budget
I. FUNDS RELATED TO SECRETARIAT AND INSTITUTIONS					
The Secretariat					
Secretary General and Central					
Services					
Executive Management	1,144,100	(4,100)	1,140,000	(6,000)	1,134,000
Security Management	391,400	-	391,400	(30,000)	361,400
External Co-operation	675,800	(9,000)	666,800	(61,000)	605,800
Legal Services	544,700	-	544,700	(5,000)	539,700
Communication and Media	1 222 200	4.100	1 227 400		1 227 400
Relations Section	1,223,300	4,100	1,227,400	-	1,227,400
Conference and Language	5 002 100		5 002 100	(150,000)	4 952 100
Services	5,002,100	7 000	5,002,100 307,900	(150,000)	4,852,100
Records Management	300,900 388,300	7,000	,	-	307,900
Prague Office Gender Issues	374,400	2,000	388,300 <u>376,400</u>	-	388,300 <u>376,400</u>
Total	10,045,000	<u>2,000</u>	10,045,000	(252,000)	9,793,000
Total	10,045,000	-	10,045,000	(252,000)	9,793,000
Chairperson-in-Office					
Short-Term Mission/Visits of					
CiO and PR of the CiO	540,000	_	540,000	(20,000)	520,000
Advisory Committee on	210,000		210,000	(20,000)	220,000
Management and Finance					
(ACMF)	15,000	_	15,000	_	15,000
Panel of Adjudicators	39,000	-	39,000	(10,000)	29,000
Audit Committee	49,200	_	49,200	(10,000)	39,200
External Auditors	100,000	=	100,000	-	100,000
Total	743,200	-	743,200	$\overline{(40,000)}$	703,200
	,		,	. , ,	,
Internal Oversight					
Internal Oversight	1,297,900	-	1,297,900	(77,000)	1,220,900
Total	1,297,900	-	1,297,900	(77,000)	1,220,900
Office of the Special Representative/Co-ordinator for Combating Trafficking Office of the Special Representative/Co-ordinator for Combating Trafficking in	907.000		007.000	(27, 000)	050.000
Human Beings	896,800	-	896,800	<u>(37,000)</u>	<u>859,800</u>
Total	896,800	-	896,800	(37,000)	859,800

Fund Main Programme Programme	Approved Budget	Transfers as per Fin.Reg. 3.02(b)	Revised Budget after Transfers	Proposed Budget Increases/ (Decreases)	Proposed Revised Budget
Addressing Transnational Threats					
Co-ordination of TNT Activities	542,200	_	542,200	(28,000)	514,200
Strategic Police Matters Unit	674,600	_	674,600	(95,000)	579,600
Action Against Terrorism	855,300	-	855,300	(25,000)	830,300
Borders Security and					
Management	<u>464,400</u>	-	<u>464,400</u>	<u>(5,000)</u>	<u>459,400</u>
Total	2,536,500	-	2,536,500	(153,000)	2,383,500
Activities Relating to the Economic and Environmental Aspects of Security Co-ordinator of OSCE Economic					
and Environmental Activities Economic and Environmental	1,570,400	15,000	1,585,400	46,000	1,631,400
Forum	448,800	(15,000)	433,800	<u>-</u> _	433,800
Total	2,019,200		2,019,200	46,000	2,065,200
Conflict Prevention					
CPC Direction and Management	413,900	_	413,900	22,000	435,900
Policy Support Service	1,013,500	_	1,013,500	3,000	1,016,500
Operations Service	796,000	-	796,000	2,000	798,000
Programming and Evaluation					
Support Unit	366,900	-	366,900	12,000	378,900
FSC Chairmanship	33,100	-	33,100	-	33,100
FSC Support	573,900	-	573,900	34,000	607,900
Communications Network	<u>576,400</u>	-	<u>576,400</u>	-	<u>576,400</u>
Total	3,773,700	-	3,773,700	73,000	3,846,700
Human Resources					
Management		(4.0.000)	4.00000		
HR Direction and Management	447,000	(19,000)	428,000	27,000	455,000
HR Services	1,064,200	(70,000)	994,200	54,000	1,048,200
Common Staff Costs Talent Management	1,910,800 <u>1,785,100</u>	191,000 (102,000)	2,101,800 1,683,100	380,000 82,000	2,481,800 1,765,100
Total	5,207,100	(102,000)	5,207,100	543,000	5,750,100
1000	2,207,100		2,207,100	2 12,000	2,,20,100
Department of Management and Finance					
Management and Co-ordination	582,000	(18,400)	563,600	_	563,600
Budget and Finance Services	1,238,000	36,400	1,274,400	62,000	1,336,400
Information and Communication	1 005 700	27.000	1 022 700		1 922 700
Technology Services Mission Support Service	1,805,700	27,000 44,000	1,832,700	26,000	1,832,700
Secretariat Common Operational	1,431,400	44,000	1,475,400	20,000	1,501,400
Costs	3,228,900	(89,000)	3,139,900	(69,000)	3,070,900
Total	8,286,000	<u>(32,000)</u>	8,286,000	19,000	8,305,000
TOTAL FOR THE					
SECRETARIAT	34,805,400	-	34,805,400	122,000	34,927,400

Fund Main Programme	Approved Budget	Transfers as per Fin.Reg.	Revised Budget	Proposed Budget	Proposed Revised
Programme	Lunger	3.02(b)	after Transfers	Increases/ (Decreases)	Budget
Office for Democratic					
Institutions and Human Rights					
Direction and Policy	1,293,000	30,000	1,323,000	_	1,323,000
Fund Administration Unit	2,151,900	(10,000)	2,141,900	_	2,141,900
Common Operational Costs	769,700	(20,000)	749,700	_	749,700
Human Dimension Meetings	605,900	-	605,900	_	605,900
Democratization	1,520,200	15,000	1,535,200	-	1,535,200
Human Rights	1,242,300	10,000	1,252,300	_	1,252,300
Elections	6,480,200	(25,000)	6,455,200	_	6,455,200
Supplementary Budget for					
Elections	2,077,100	-	2,077,100	-	2,077,100
Tolerance and					
Non-Discrimination	1,381,300	-	1,381,300	-	1,381,300
Roma and Sinti Issues	<u>550,700</u>	-	<u>550,700</u>	-	<u>550,700</u>
Total	18,072,300	-	18,072,300	-	18,072,300
High Commissioner on					
National Minorities					
Fund Administration Unit	369,100	-	369,100	-	369,100
Common Operational Costs	176,200	-	176,200	-	176,200
Office of the High					
Commissioner	<u>2,862,300</u>	-	<u>2,862,300</u>	-	<u>2,862,300</u>
Total	3,407,600	-	3,407,600	-	3,407,600
Representative on Freedom of					
<u>the Media</u>					
Freedom of the Media	<u>1,481,600</u>	-	<u>1,481,600</u>	-	<u>1,481,600</u>
Total	1,481,600	-	1,481,600	-	1,481,600
TOTAL FOR FUNDS					
RELATED TO THE					
SECRETARIAT AND					
INSTITUTIONS	57,766,900	-	57,766,900	122,000	57,888,900
II. FUNDS RELATED TO					
OSCE FIELD OPERATIONS					
Augmentations					
Secretariat Augmentations					
Communication and Media					
Relations Section	268,800	_	268,800	2,000	270,800
Internal Oversight	257,000	_	257,000	-,000	257,000
Policy Support Service	390,300	(8,300)	382,000	(1,000)	381,000
Operations Service	189,900	2,000	191,900	-,5557	191,900
Programming and Evaluation	22,420	-,0			
Support Unit	63,300	6,300	69,600	1,000	70,600
HR Services	356,700	4,000	360,700	-	360,700
Talent Management	309,400	(4,000)	305,400	(2,000)	303,400
Management and Co-ordination	75,300	(7,500)	67,800	(5,000)	62,800
Budget and Finance Services	701,900	- -	701,900	(62,000)	639,900

Fund Main Programme Programme	Approved Budget	Transfers as per Fin.Reg. 3.02(b)	Revised Budget after Transfers	Proposed Budget Increases/ (Decreases)	Proposed Revised Budget
Information and Communication	1 220 600	7.500	1 2 47 100	7,000	1 252 100
Technology Services	1,239,600	7,500	1,247,100	5,000	1,252,100
Mission Support Services Total	973,300 4,825,500	-	973,300 4,825,500	(26,000)	947,300 4,737,500
Total	4,825,500	-	4,825,500	(88,000)	4,737,500
ODIHR Augmentations					
ODIHR Democratization	234,100	-	234,100	-	234,100
Total	234,100	-	234,100	-	234,100
Total for Augmentations	5,059,600	-	5,059,600	(88,000)	4,971,600
SOUTH-EASTERN EUROPE					
Mission in Kosovo					
Office of Head of Mission	2,931,000	86,000	3,017,000	_	3,017,000
Fund Administration Unit	2,540,500	147,000	2,687,500	_	2,687,500
Common Operational Costs	3,310,900	(198,000)	3,112,900	_	3,112,900
Security and Public Safety	1,295,500	(10,000)	1,285,500	-	1,285,500
Democratization	2,208,500	(15,000)	2,193,500	_	2,193,500
Human Rights and Communities	6,600,200	(10,000)	6,590,200	_	6,590,200
Total	18,886,600	-	18,886,600	-	18,886,600
Tasks in Bosnia and Herzegovina					
Office of Head of Mission	1,528,600	30,900	1,559,500	-	1,559,500
Fund Administration Unit	1,776,700	90,800	1,867,500	-	1,867,500
Common Operational Costs	2,338,000	(70,800)	2,267,200	-	2,267,200
Human Dimension	5,672,300	(50,900)	5,621,400	-	5,621,400
Total for the Mission	11,315,600	-	11,315,600	-	11,315,600
Regional Stabilization/Arms Control					
Implementation of Article IV Total for regional	<u>134,700</u>	-	<u>134,700</u>	(34,000)	100,700
Stabilization/Arms Control	134,700	-	134,700	(34,000)	100,700
Grand total for Tasks in Bosnia and Herzegovina	11,450,300	-	11,450,300	(34,000)	11,416,300
Mission to Serbia					
Office of Head of Mission	1,038,800	-	1,038,800	-	1,038,800
Fund Administration Unit	646,500	-	646,500	-	646,500
Common Operational Costs	1,072,400	-	1,072,400	-	1,072,400
Police Affairs	988,500	-	988,500	_	988,500
Democratization	1,193,300	-	1,193,300	-	1,193,300
Media	429,600	-	429,600	-	429,600
Rule of Law and Human Rights	1,059,900	-	1,059,900	-	1,059,900
Total	6,429,000	-	6,429,000	-	6,429,000
Presence in Albania Office of Head of Mission	473,800	5,100	478,900	-	478,900

Fund Main Programme Programme	Approved Budget	Transfers as per Fin.Reg. 3.02(b)	Revised Budget after Transfers	Proposed Budget Increases/ (Decreases)	Proposed Revised Budget
				(11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
Fund Administration Unit	392,600	39,000	431,600	-	431,600
Common Operational Costs	626,200	(28,300)	597,900	-	597,900
Security Co-operation	357,300	(33,200)	324,100	-	324,100
Governance in Economic and					
Environmental Issues	302,800	(25,900)	276,900	-	276,900
Democratization	432,700	21,500	454,200	-	454,200
Rule of Law and Human Rights	333,100	21,800	354,900	-	354,900
Total	2,918,500	-	2,918,500	-	2,918,500
Mission to Skopje					
Office of Head of Mission	991,700	17,500	1,009,200	-	1,009,200
Fund Administration Unit	760,800	42,000	802,800	-	802,800
Common Operational Costs	974,200	72,200	1,046,400	-	1,046,400
Public Safety and Community					
Outreach	1,764,500	(58,000)	1,706,500	-	1,706,500
Human Dimension	1,766,000	(73,700)	1,692,300	-	1,692,300
Total	6,257,200	-	6,257,200	-	6,257,200
Mission to Montenegro					
Office of Head of Mission	326,000	15,000	341,000	_	341,000
Fund Administration Unit	264,600	5,700	270,300	_	270,300
Common Operational Costs	416,500	(5,300)	411,200	_	411,200
Security Co-operation	365,900	(5,300)	360,600	_	360,600
Democratization	406,500	(5,100)	401,400	_	401,400
Media	115,300	300	115,600	_	115,600
Rule of Law and Human Rights	<u>251,400</u>	(5,300)	246,100	_	246,100
Total	2,146,200	_	2,146,200	-	2,146,200
TOTAL FOR SOUTH-EASTERN EUROPE	48,087,800	-	48,087,800	(34,000)	48,053,800
EASTERN EUROPE					
36 36.13					
Mission to Moldova	420 000	(19.000)	410.000		410 000
Office of Head of Mission	428,800	(18,000)	410,800	-	410,800
Fund Administration Unit	228,100 531,600	18,000 30,000	246,100	-	246,100
Common Operational Costs Conflict Prevention/Resolution	456,700	(30,000)	561,600 426,700	-	561,600 426,700
Human Rights	430,700	(30,000)	420,700	-	420,700
Monitoring/Democratization	291,100		291,100	_	291,100
Anti-Trafficking/Gender	263,700	_	263,700	_	263,700
Total	2,200,000	-	2,200,000	-	2,200,000
D 1 4 C P 4 1					
<u>Project Co-ordinator in</u> <u>Ukraine</u>					
Office of Head of Mission	219,800	21,900	241,700	-	241,700
Fund Administration Unit	349,100	11,000	360,100	-	360,100
Common Operational Costs	425,100	(13,000)	412,100	-	412,100
Democratization and Good	- ,	(- , /	,		,
Governance	313,100	(31,300)	281,800	-	281,800
Rule of Law and Human Rights	930,500	9,900	940,400	-	940,400
g .					

Fund Main Programme Programme	Approved Budget	Transfers as per Fin.Reg. 3.02(b)	Revised Budget after Transfers	Proposed Budget Increases/ (Decreases)	Proposed Revised Budget
Economic, Environmental and Politico-Military Projects Total	755,400 2,993,000	<u>1,500</u>	756,900 2,993,000	<u>-</u>	756,900 2,993,000
Representative to the Latvian-Russian Joint Commission on Military Pensioners					
Office of Head of Mission Total	9,000 9,000	- -	9,000 9,000	-	9,000 9,000
TOTAL FOR EASTERN EUROPE	5,202,000	-	5,202,000	-	5,202,000
CAUCASUS					
Office in Yerevan					
Office of Head of Mission	302,900	-	302,900	-	302,900
Fund Administration Unit	223,600	(4,500)	219,100	-	219,100
Common Operational Costs	396,700	(10,800)	385,900	-	385,900
Politico-Military Activities	533,400	(16,000)	517,400	-	517,400
Economic and Environmental					
Activities	526,400	(5,000)	521,400	-	521,400
Democratization	307,200	13,500	320,700	-	320,700
Human Rights	330,000	22,800	352,800	-	352,800
Good Governance	<u>334,200</u>	-	<u>334,200</u>	-	<u>334,200</u>
Total	2,954,400	-	2,954,400	-	2,954,400
Project Co-ordinator in Baku					
Office of Head of Mission	229,600	_	229,600	_	229,600
Fund Administration Unit	215,500	21,500	237,000	_	237,000
Common Operational Costs	305,900	,	305,900	_	305,900
Politico-Military Activities	313,200	(7,200)	306,000	_	306,000
Economic and Environmental		, ,			
Activities	292,000	(7,200)	284,800	-	284,800
Human Dimension Activities	443,800	<u>(7,100)</u>	436,700	-	436,700
Total	1,800,000	-	1,800,000	-	1,800,000
High-Level Planning Group					
Office of Head of Mission	251,300	_	251,300	_	<u>251,300</u>
Total	251,300 251,300	_	251,300 251,300	_	251,300 251,300
Total	251,500	_	251,500	_	231,300
The Minsk Process					
Office of Head of Mission	927,500	-	927,500	-	927,500
Total	927,500	-	927,500	-	927,500
Personal Representative of the CiO on the Conflict Dealt with by the Minsk Conference	472.500	(21.500)	451,000		451,000
Office of Head of Mission	472,500	(21,500)	451,000	10.000	451,000
Fund Administration Unit	217,800	21,500	239,300	10,000	249,300

Fund Main Programme Programme	Approved Budget	Transfers as per Fin.Reg. 3.02(b)	Revised Budget after Transfers	Proposed Budget Increases/ (Decreases)	Proposed Revised Budget
Common Operational Costs Total	482,700 1,173,000	-	482,700 1,173,000	(10,000) -	472,700 1,173,000
TOTAL FOR CAUCASUS	7,106,200	-	7,106,200	-	7,106,200
CENTRAL ASIA					
Programme Office in Astana					
Office of Head of Mission	212,000	(10,000)	202,000	-	202,000
Fund Administration Unit	250,600	7,000	257,600	-	257,600
Common Operational Costs	398,400	(39,000)	359,400	-	359,400
Politico-Military Activities	428,000	20,000	448,000	-	448,000
Economic and Environmental					
Activities	431,600	22,000	453,600	-	453,600
Human Dimension Activities	428,100	-	428,100	_	428,100
Total	2,148,700	-	2,148,700	-	2,148,700
Centre in Ashgabat					
Office of Head of Mission	333,100	(19,600)	313,500	-	313,500
Fund Administration Unit	174,600	2,500	177,100	_	177,100
Common Operational Costs	283,800	(7,500)	276,300	_	276,300
Conflict Prevention and	,	, , ,	,		,
Confidence and Security					
Building	262,900	-	262,900	-	262,900
Economic and Environmental	240.000	0.400	250 500		250 500
Activities	249,900	9,600	259,500	-	259,500
Human Dimension Activities	<u>266,500</u>	<u>15,000</u>	<u>281,500</u>	-	<u>281,500</u>
Total	1,570,800	-	1,570,800	-	1,570,800
Centre in Bishkek					
Office of Head of Mission	1,156,600	60,000	1,216,600	-	1,216,600
Fund Administration Unit	623,100	-	623,100	-	623,100
Common Operational Costs	928,100	-	928,100	-	928,100
Politico-Military Activities	1,844,700	(60,000)	1,784,700	-	1,784,700
Economic and Environmental					
Activities	1,299,400	-	1,299,400	-	1,299,400
Human Dimension Activities	1,057,700	-	1,057,700	-	1,057,700
Total	6,909,600	-	6,909,600	-	6,909,600
Project Co-ordinator in					
<u>Uzbekistan</u>					
Office of Head of Mission	198,800	9,800	208,600	-	208,600
Fund Administration Unit	106,600	10,500	117,100	8,800	125,900
Common Operational Costs	266,300	7,500	273,800	(8,000)	265,800
Politico-Military Activities	404,900	(12,500)	392,400	(200)	392,200
Economic and Environmental	_				
Activities	533,900	(9,300)	524,600	(300)	524,300
Human Dimension Activities	484,400	<u>(6,000)</u>	478,400	<u>(300)</u>	478,100
Total	1,994,900	-	1,994,900	-	1,994,900

Fund Main Programme Programme	Approved Budget	Transfers as per Fin.Reg. 3.02(b)	Revised Budget after Transfers	Proposed Budget Increases/ (Decreases)	Proposed Revised Budget
Office in Tajikistan					
Office of Head of Mission	1,185,000	100,500	1,285,500	-	1,285,500
Fund Administration Unit	587,400	50,000	637,400	-	637,400
Common Operational Costs	1,446,100	30,000	1,476,100	-	1,476,100
Political and Military Aspects of					
Security	1,928,200	(70,500)	1,857,700	-	1,857,700
Economic and Environmental					
Activities	1,106,900	(80,000)	1,026,900	-	1,026,900
Human Dimension Activities	1,084,600	(30,000)	1,054,600	-	<u>1,054,600</u>
Total	7,338,200	-	7,338,200	-	7,338,200
TOTAL FOR CENTRAL ASIA	19,962,200	-	19,962,200	-	19,962,200
TOTAL FOR FUNDS RELATED TO THE OSCE FIELD OPERATIONS	85,417,800	-	85,417,800	(122,000)	85,295,800
OSCE UNIFIED BUDGET	141,107,600	-	141,107,600	-	141,107,600
ODIHR SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET	2,077,100	-	2,077,100	-	2,077,100
TOTAL OSCE UNIFIED BUDGET(including the ODIHR supplementary budget)	143,184,700		143,184,700	-	143,184,700



Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe Permanent Council

PC.DEC/1196 17 December 2015

Original: ENGLISH

1083rd Plenary Meeting

PC Journal No. 1083, Agenda item 3

DECISION No. 1196 SCALES OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR 2016–2017

The Permanent Council,

Recalling Permanent Council Decision No. 1072 of 7 February 2013 on the Scales of Contributions for 2013–2015,

Acknowledging the work done in the Informal Working Group (IWG) on Scales of Contributions,

Taking note of the report of the Chairperson of the IWG on the Scales of Contributions (PC.DEL/1260/15),

- 1. Approves, as a provisional measure, the standard and field operations Scales of Contributions as contained in the annex, from 1 January 2016 to 31 December 2016. The standard and field operations Scales of Contributions as contained in the annex shall be automatically extended for one subsequent period of 12 months, unless a participating State objects in writing to the Chairperson of the Permanent Council by 1 October 2016;
- 2. Tasks the OSCE Chairmanship to continue the work of the open-ended Informal Working Group on Scales of Contributions in 2016 with the same tasks as stipulated in Permanent Council Decision No. 1072. With the aim of intensifying its work, the meetings of the open-ended Informal Working Group will take place at least once a month, starting no later than February 2016;
- 3. The IWG shall be led by a Chairperson appointed by the OSCE Chairmanship and shall perform its tasks in accordance with the Permanent Council Decision No. 1072, also through consultations with capitals;
- 4. Tasks the IWG and its Chairperson to submit to a reinforced Permanent Council by 1 June 2016 the concrete recommendations for the revised Scales of Contributions for the period 2017–2019. The recommendations shall be made in accordance with Permanent Council Decision No. 1072.

THE SCALES OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR 2016–2017

Participating State	Standard scale per cent for 2016–2017	Field operation scale per cent for 2016–2017
Albania	0.125	0.020
Germany	9.350	12.060
United States of America	11.500	14.000
Andorra	0.125	0.020
Armenia	0.050	0.020
Austria	2.510	2.160
Azerbaijan	0.050	0.020
Belarus	0.280	0.040
Belgium	3.240	3.420
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.125	0.020
Bulgaria	0.550	0.050
Canada	5.530	5.340
Cyprus	0.190	0.110
Croatia	0.190	0.110
Denmark	2.100	2.050
Spain	4.580	5.000
Estonia	0.190	0.020
Finland	1.850	1.980
France	9.350	11.090
Georgia	0.050	0.020
United Kingdom	9.350	11.090
Greece	0.980	0.730
Hungary	0.600	0.380
Ireland	0.750	0.790
Iceland	0.190	0.090
Italy	9.350	11.090
Kazakhstan	0.360	0.060
Kyrgyzstan	0.050	0.020
Latvia	0.190	0.025
The former Yugoslav		
Republic of Macedonia	0.125	0.020
Liechtenstein	0.125	0.020
Lithuania	0.190	0.025
Luxembourg	0.470	0.250

THE SCALES OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR 2016–2017 (continued)

Participating State	Standard scale per cent for 2016–2017	Field operation scale per cent for 2016–2017
Malta	0.125	0.025
Moldova	0.050	0.020
Monaco	0.125	0.020
Mongolia	0.050	0.020
Montenegro	0.050	0.020
Norway	2.050	2.070
Uzbekistan	0.350	0.050
Netherlands	4.360	3.570
Poland	1.350	1.050
Portugal	0.980	0.560
Romania	0.600	0.120
Russian Federation	6.000	2.500
San Marino	0.125	0.020
Holy See	0.125	0.020
Serbia	0.140	0.020
Slovakia	0.280	0.150
Slovenia	0.220	0.175
Sweden	3.240	3.410
Switzerland	2.810	2.720
Tajikistan	0.050	0.020
Czech Republic	0.570	0.420
Turkmenistan	0.050	0.020
Turkey	1.010	0.750
Ukraine	0.680	0.140
Total	100.055	100.030

ENGLISH
Original: FRENCH

INTERPRETATIVE STATEMENT UNDER PARAGRAPH IV.1(A)6 OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE ORGANIZATION FOR SECURITY AND CO-OPERATION IN EUROPE

By the delegation of Belgium (also on behalf of France, Italy, Spain and the United Kingdom):

"We thank the Serbian Chairmanship for its efforts and skill in ensuring the adoption of the Permanent Council decision regarding the continuation of our work on the necessary reform of the OSCE scales of contributions.

We have joined the consensus so as not to obstruct the adoption of this decision but would like to recall once again the following points.

The current scales provisionally renewed for 2016 are unfair and unjustified. They need to be revised as quickly as possible because they are not based on a rational system and need to be adapted to the economic situation in accordance with a fair cost distribution model.

We support the aim of arriving at a system permitting a simple, systematic and annual revision of contributions that reflects the economic and budgetary situation of the participating States and takes account of their ability to pay. Scales on the basis of a purely political agreement are a glaring anomaly not found in international organizations and are not tenable. They call into question the credibility of the OSCE as a regional organization under Chapter VIII of the Charter of the United Nations.

The work on a revision of the scales to be resumed in 2016 is based on the proposals made by Ambassador Peter Maddens in May 2015. These can certainly be further developed, modified and supplemented, but they ought not to be abandoned. Dialogue should be resumed as soon as possible, and we trust that it will bear fruit, as provided for in the decision, by 1 October 2016.

The Chairperson of the Informal Working Group will need to ensure that the revision process is credible and that it makes progress and produces results quickly. To that end he will have to hold consultations of sufficient breadth and intensity both in Vienna and in the various capitals.

We should like to inform the Permanent Council that we shall be able to accept the continued renewal of the current scales in 2017 if and only if sufficient and sufficiently credible progress has been made by 1 October 2016 as specified by the Chairmanship.

Spain, France, Italy, the United Kingdom and Belgium invite the incoming German Chairmanship to take account of these considerations in the full implementation of the decision adopted by the Permanent Council.

Mr. Chairperson, I kindly request that this statement be attached to the adopted decision and to the journal of the day."

Original: ENGLISH

INTERPRETATIVE STATEMENT UNDER PARAGRAPH IV.1(A)6 OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE ORGANIZATION FOR SECURITY AND CO-OPERATION IN EUROPE

By the delegation of the United States of America:

"The United States wishes to make an interpretative statement under paragraph IV.1(A) 6 of the Rules of Procedure of the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe.

In joining consensus on a rollover for the Scales of Contributions, the United States has made no commitment to any increases in the U.S. contribution beyond this period. Furthermore, when the Scales of Contribution are opened up, the United States will insist on going down on both the Helsinki and Vienna scales.

Permanent Council Decision No. 408, adopted in 2001, established a 14 per cent ceiling for the field operations (Vienna) scale. The logic behind that ceiling remains valid, and we see no reason for changing it. We recall the significance of the concept of shared responsibilities (for both the Helsinki and Vienna scales) during the forty years since the Helsinki Accords were signed and intend to participate in discussions on that basis. Moreover, it remains our view that all the criteria applied to these scales remain of equal weight.

The United States requests that this statement be recorded in the journal of the day.

Thank you, Mr. Chairperson."

Original: ENGLISH

INTERPRETATIVE STATEMENT UNDER PARAGRAPH IV.1(A)6 OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE ORGANIZATION FOR SECURITY AND CO-OPERATION IN EUROPE

By the delegation of Ukraine:

"Mr. Chairperson,

In connection with the PC decision on the scales of contributions for 2016–2017, the delegation of Ukraine would like to make the following interpretative statement under paragraph IV.1(A)6 of the Rules of Procedure of the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe.

The delegation of Ukraine would like to extend sincere gratitude to Ambassador Peter Maddens for his dedicated work as Chairperson of the open-ended Informal OSCE Working Group on the Scales of Contributions.

Ukraine has joined the consensus on the draft decision on the rollover of the Scales of Contributions for 2016–2017, sharing the importance of providing the Organization with necessary means to enable it to fulfil its core functions in the most effective and efficient manner.

From Ukraine's perspective, the Scales of Contributions have to fully reflect the actual economic realities in the OSCE participating States and be established in accordance with the principle of capacity to pay which should be based on the most current comprehensive and comparable United Nations data available for gross national income. Ukraine presently contributes to the OSCE budget noticeably larger than under the UN Scale and more than a good number of countries with a higher national income.

In the spirit of compromise, Ukraine agrees with this provisional measure with the hope that the future Scales of Contributions will be established in accordance with the principle of capacity to pay, based on the UN criteria for contributions.

The delegation of Ukraine requests that this statement be attached to the decision and recorded in the journal of the day.

Thank you, Mr. Chairperson."

ENGLISH

Original: RUSSIAN

INTERPRETATIVE STATEMENT UNDER PARAGRAPH IV.1(A)6 OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE ORGANIZATION FOR SECURITY AND CO-OPERATION IN EUROPE

By the delegation of the Russian Federation (also on behalf of Belarus):

"In joining the consensus on the Permanent Council decision on the Scales of Contributions for 2016–2017, the Russian Federation and the Republic of Belarus would like to make the following statement.

Like a number of other participating States, our countries have proposed extending the existing scales of contributions for the period 2016 to 2018 on the assumption that this would make it possible to stabilize the OSCE's financial and budgetary activities. It would also take into account the current difficult global economic situation.

As for resuming the work of the open-ended Informal Working Group on Scales of Contributions in February of next year, we believe it necessary to point out that recommendations on new scales of contributions can be elaborated only on the basis of consensus on this question. In that connection, Russia and Belarus are guided by the unique political character of the OSCE and the dual system of contributions at the level established in 2007 in accordance with the Organization's special features. This system is fully in line with the existence of a large number of OSCE field presences, whose financing must be calculated according to a separate scale of contributions.

We request that this statement be appended to the decision adopted, and included in the journal of the day."